

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/619,670 07/15/2003

7590

07/26/2004

Leonard McCoy

MCL-100-A

7171

PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

Barbara M. Burns 1756 Plymouth Road #276 Ann Arbor, MI 48105

DAHBOUR, FADI H

ART UNIT 3743

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1/
Office Action Summary	10/619,670	MCCOY, LEONARI	- <i> U </i>
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fadi H. Dahbour	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	•		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	o∏ o	(PTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.	5)	atent Application (PTO-	152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Star.

Star discloses a male condom (Figs.1-17), comprising a unitary body having a closed end and an open end (see right and left of Fig.1), the unitary body having a first elongated generally tubular section adapted to fit a penis of a male (see right-half of Fig.1), the first elongated generally tubular section having the closed end (see right-half of Fig.1), a second section extending from the first elongated generally tubular section (see left-half of Fig.1), the second section being adapted to fit a scrotum of a male (see left-half of Fig.1). the second section having the open end (see left-half of Fig.1), and a resilient means adjacent the open end adapted to hold the condom securely to a male (130 of Fig.1), the resilient means adapted to fit between the scrotum and the torso of a male (130 of Fig.1), wherein the unitary body comprises one of latex rubber, animal skin and a synthetic membrane (see "latex" in line 30 of col.2), wherein the resilient means adjacent the open end allow expansion of open end of second section over larger size of scrotum and then reduces and grips a smaller size between the scrotum and a torso of a male (130 of Fig.1). wherein the resilient means can be configured in one of a round and square configuration (130 of Fig.1), wherein the resilient means being adjustable and flexible (130 of Fig.1). wherein the second section adapted to and cups a male scrotum (see left-half of Fig.1).

further comprising a condom for use by a user for the prevention of pregnancy and the prevention of disease (Fig.1), comprising a body having a closed end an open end (see right and left of Fig.1), the body having a first section adapted to fit one of a penis and a penile facsimile (see right-half of Fig.1), the first section having the closed end (see right-half of Fig.1), a second section adapted to fit one of a scrotum of a user and a genital facsimile (see left-half of Fig.1), the second section having the open end (see left-half of Fig.1), and a resilient gripper means near the open end of the body adapted to hold the condom securely to a user (130 of Fig.1) and adapted to fit one of between the scrotum and the pelvis of a user and onto a genital facsimile (130 of Fig.1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Star.

Regarding claim 9, Star, as described above, discloses all the features claimed except being sized for a multiplicity of sizes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the condom of Star being sized for a multiplicity of sizes, for different users having different sizes.

Regarding claim 10, Star, as described above, discloses all the features claimed except being packaged flat with the two sections being visible. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to

Application/Control Number: 10/619,670

Art Unit: 3743

have the condom of Star being packaged flat with the two sections being visible, because Star teaches that "the condom 100 can be packaged, rolled or unrolled, in a plastic or foil pouch for storage, sales and distribution" (see lines 52-53 of col.4).

Regarding claim 11, Star, as described above, discloses all the features claimed except packaging wherein at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms are provided. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the condom of Star have packaging wherein at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms are provided, because Star teaches that "the condom 100 can be packaged, rolled or unrolled, in a plastic or foil pouch for storage, sales and distribution" (see lines 52-53 of col.4).

Regarding claim 12, Star, as described above, discloses all the features claimed except the at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms being displayed with each packaged condom being placed above and back of a lower condom. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the condom of Star have the at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms being displayed with each packaged condom being placed above and back of a lower condom, because Star teaches that "the condom 100 can be packaged, rolled or unrolled, in a plastic or foil pouch for storage, sales and distribution" (see lines 52-53 of col.4).

Regarding claim 13, Star, as described above, discloses all the features claimed except openable and recloseable packaging wherein the at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms are available for selection. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3743

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have the condom of Star have openable and recloseable packaging wherein the at least a multiplicity of individually packaged condoms are available for selection, because Star teaches that "the condom 100 can be packaged, rolled or unrolled, in a plastic or foil pouch for storage, sales and distribution" (see lines 52-53 of col.4).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Papurt ('447), Papurt ('890), Gray, Curcio and Serrano et al are cited to show condoms.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fadi H. Dahbour whose telephone number is 703-306-5479. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5:30pm est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett, can be reached on (703) 308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,670

Art Unit: 3743

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

To rell

Fadi H. Dahbour Examiner Art Unit 3743